Attachment 2

Panel Phase II

Questions, Findings and Staff Actions

Phase II Report	Phase II Report	Staff Actions
Questions	Key Findings	
1. Examine HCP/SYP/THP Process and Actions Needed to Protect Water Quality. (page 3, 8-15)	 A. HCP/SYP/THP process does not ensure attainment of water quality objectives: Water quality is incidental to the stated purpose of plans Plans have multiple objectives Plans are statement of intents, not guarantees of attainment Process and procedures poorly defined, lack enforceable water quality standards, impartial review 	 Incorporate key concepts as part of foundation for TMDL implementation plan
2. Evaluate Whether a Rate of Recovery of Beneficial Uses can be Determined. (page 3, 16-27)	 A. Current information is insufficient for determining rate of recovery of beneficial uses due to: Watershed disturbance continues at high rate Effectiveness and trend monitoring data to estimate recovery time unavailable 	 Staff development of new data via monitoring programs, LIDAR, stream surveys, etc
3. Evaluate HCP/SYP/THP Water Quality Protection Measures in Context of Basin Plan (page 3, 31-33)	 A. HCP/SYP/THP process cannot be relied upon to attain water quality objectives due to: See Key Findings for 1 above THPs approved without benefit of watershed analysis and implementation Reiterates use of Empirical Sediment Budget to help frame disturbance index 	 See above Waiting Board Directions Waiting Board Directions
4. Evaluate Dunne Report 46 in Context of Appropriateness of Application in Five Watersheds. (page 4, 55-59)		 Staff pursuing collaborative data collection to run stochastic models Waiting Model Development
	No science base to assume sedimentation and water quality impacts can be mitigation to zero	 Incorporate in Development of TMDL.